Diversity, Dynamics, and Distribution of *Bdellovibrio* and Like Organisms in Perialpine Lakes
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**ABSTRACT** Microbes drive a variety of ecosystem processes and services, but many of them remain largely unexplored because of a lack of knowledge on both the diversity and functionality of some potentially crucial microbiological compartments. This is the case with and within the group of bacterial predators collectively known as *Bdellovibrio* and like organisms (BALOs). Here, we report the abundance, distribution, and diversity of three families of these obligate predatory Gram-negative bacteria in three perialpine lakes (Lakes Annecy, Bourget, and Geneva). The study was conducted at different depths (near-surface versus 45 or 50 m) from August 2015 to January 2016. Using PCR-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (PCR-DGGE) and cloning-sequencing approaches, we show that the diversity of BALOs is relatively low and very specific to freshwaters or even the lakes themselves. While the *Peredibacteraceae* family was represented mainly by a single species (*Peredibacter starrii*), it could represent up to 7% of the total bacterial cell abundances. Comparatively, the abundances of the two other families (*Bdellovibrionaceae* and *Bacteriovoracaceae*) were significantly lower. In addition, the distributions in the water column were very different between the three groups, suggesting various life strategies/niches, as follows: *Peredibacteraceae* dominated near the surface, while *Bdellovibrionaceae* and *Bacteriovoracaceae* were more abundant at greater depths. Statistical analyses revealed that BALOs seem mainly to be driven by depth and temperature. Finally, this original study was also the opportunity to design new quantitative PCR (qPCR) primers for *Peredibacteraceae* quantification.

**IMPORTANCE** This study highlights the abundance, distribution, and diversity of a poorly known microbial compartment in natural aquatic ecosystems, the *Bdellovibrio* and like organisms (BALOs). These obligate bacterial predators of other bacteria may have an important functional role. This study shows the relative quantitative importance of the three main families of this group, with the design of a new primer pair, and their diversity. While both the diversity and the abundances of these BALOs were globally low, it is noteworthy that the abundance of the *Peredibacteraceae* could reach important values.
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Over the last few years, studies on western European large and deep perialpine lakes have revealed that these ecosystems harbor a very diverse and dynamic auto- and heterotrophic prokaryotic community (1–7). These studies and others have also highlighted that both biotic and abiotic factors are likely to regulate these communities. Among these factors, inorganic nutrients, viruses, nanoflagellates, and other heterotrophic grazers (including ciliates and/or metazooplankton) have been identified as critical players in the dynamics of the abundance, community composition, or structure patterns (1, 5, 8–12). Clearly, viral lysis and nanoflagellate or ciliate
grazing have been observed to be important biotic factors involved in bacterial mortality, affecting their abundance with a rate ranging from 10% to 60% of bacterial loss per day, but also in regulating their (community) structure and/or diversity (10, 13–15).

Other types of biotic interactions are known to exist but have been poorly investigated. They include the interactions between micro- and macroorganisms, the interactions between bacteria and other organisms, or the role of eukaryotic pathogens (e.g., fungi) which still remain scarce (12, 16, 17). Another type of biotic interaction that has been largely neglected in aquatic ecosystems is the bacterial predation by other bacteria. To the best of our knowledge, the diversity, abundance, dynamics, and functional role of these groups of predators (sometimes also referred to as parasitoids) have never been investigated in alpine lakes so far. Among these predatory bacteria that can belong to several phyla, a “group” is of particular interest toward which this study was directed, i.e., the *Bdellovibrio* and like organisms (BALOs).

BALOs are small bacteria (ranging in size from 0.2 to 0.5 μm to 0.5 to 2.5 μm [18]), very motile (moving at up to 160 μm/s [19]), and Gram negative. To ensure their survival, they hunt for other bacteria, typically Gram-negative cells, making them specific obligate predators. It is noteworthy, however, that recent studies revealed that BALOs can also prey on Gram-positive bacteria (20, 21) when they have enough time to adapt to such new types of prey. It seems that the adaptation time is related to the synthesis of necessary enzymes, which grant the predator the capacity to degrade the Gram-positive cell wall. Furthermore, BALOs are ubiquitous and widely distributed in different ecosystems, like salt waters, freshwater, sewage, soil, and sediments, and they have also been isolated from different animals, such as mammals, including in human guts and feces (22–25). So far, their abundance and taxonomic diversity across these various habitats have been unexplored or at least underestimated, largely because of the use of culturing approaches. The use of culture-independent methods, for instance, metagenomics, has indeed confirmed that the diversity of cultivated BALOs represents only a small fraction of their diversity (26).

*Bdellovibrio* and like organisms are a polyphyletic group and can be found within two different classes, the *Alphaproteobacteria* within the genus *Micavibrio* and the *Oligoflexia* (formerly classified in the *Deltaproteobacteria*) that includes five families, *Bdellovibriovinaceae*, *Peredibacteraceae*, *Bacteriovoracaceae*, *Pseudobacteriovoracaceae*, and *Halobacteriovoracaceae* (23, 27–29). The actual BALO classification is primarily based on the following four criteria: (i) the 16S rRNA gene sequence (30), (ii) the sequence of the gene encoding the β-subunit of bacterial RNA polymerase (*rpoB*) (31), (iii) the GC content (%), and (iv) the sodium chloride requirement for growth. Following this, species and/or strain types have been proposed to represent each family. For instance, *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* HD100 and *Bdellovibrio exovorus* JSS depict the *Bdellovibriovinaceae* family. For the *Peredibacteraceae*, it is *Peredibacter starrii* A3.12. For the *Bacteriovoracaceae*, *Bacteriovorax stolpii* UKi2 is the type strain. Finally, *Halobacteriovoracaceae* is represented by two type species exclusively found in salty ecosystems, *Halobacteriovorax marinus* SJ and *Halobacteriovorax littoralis* JSS (27). Comparatively, the genus *Micavibrio* may only represent a minor group within BALOs and is most often represented by *M. admirandus* or *M. aeruginosavorus*, which are both epibiotic predators (23).

BALOs have been reported to play an essential role in bacterial ecology by shaping the bacterial community (32). The general assumption states that BALOs act most likely as an ecological balancer in their environment (20, 22). BALOs are organized in distinct populations under seasonal and spatial segregation; therefore, their actions may be continuously modified (33). The understanding of the ecology of this bacterial community remains largely unknown in many aquatic environments, especially in natural systems such as large and deep lakes, for which there are almost no data available. Interestingly, a few years ago, the work of Roux et al. (34) in Lake Bourget, followed by the study by Zhong et al. (35) for Lakes Annecy and Bourget (France), showed that there is a significant single-stranded DNA virus community in these lakes, the *Microviridae*, which are abundant and diverse. This community displays boom-bust dynamics, but
the correlation between the abundance of these viruses and the abundance of total heterotrophic bacteria remained challenging to establish (35). However, some viruses within the Microviridae are known to infect BALOs, such as B. bacteriovorus (36). Thus, the presence of a relatively abundant and diverse community of single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) viruses in perialpine lakes could suggest that there is an abundant and diverse community of cellular hosts, including the BALOs. If so, these bacteria, by their potential trophic interactions with other populations of bacteria, could play a significant role in the functioning of the microbial compartment (37, 38). This is the reason why we decided to examine the existence, as determined by abundance, distribution, and diversity, of these bacterial predators in perialpine lakes.

Thus, the objective of this pioneering work dealing with freshwater BALOs was to reveal the existence of these bacteria in typical and representative perialpine lakes (Lakes Annecy, Bourget, and Geneva) and to address the following questions: (i) can BALOs be readily detected in these ecosystems? (ii) What are the structure and diversity of the BALOs? (iii) What is the quantitative importance of the leading groups among this community of predatory bacteria? (iv) What are the relationships between the population of the BALOs and heterotrophic bacteria? (v) What environmental factors appear to be important in the regulation of these interactions?

RESULTS

Primer selection. Among the 12 primer sets tested by PCR or quantitative PCR (qPCR) and checked using cloning-sequencing, we chose one primer set for the phylogenetic analysis and another one for qPCR analysis for each BALO family (Table 1 and Table S1 in the supplemental material). All selected primers were highly specific

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer set name</th>
<th>Primer name</th>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Sequence (5’–3’)</th>
<th>Amplicon size (bp)</th>
<th>Type of analysis</th>
<th>Targeted group</th>
<th>Reference or source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Couple Bde1</td>
<td>BbeF216</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>TTTCGCTCTAAGATGATGTCGGCGTG</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>PCR-DGGE &amp; cloning-sequencing</td>
<td>Bdellovibrionaceae</td>
<td>Van Essche (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BbeR707</td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>TCGCCTCGGATTATCTTGTGAT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple Bde2</td>
<td>Bde347F</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>GGAAGGCAGACTGAGGAATA</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>qPCR</td>
<td>Bdellovibrionaceae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bde549F</td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>GCAGGATCGTCTGCTACC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple Bde3</td>
<td>Bde529F</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>GTTAAGCAGAGGGATCTCTTCCAGCTACAGTGAAG</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>PCR-DGGE &amp; cloning-sequencing</td>
<td>Bdellovibrionaceae</td>
<td>Davidov et al. (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bde1007R</td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>TCTCAGTACAGTGAAG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple Bx4</td>
<td>BxFS19</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>CAGCAGCGGCGGTTATAC</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>qPCR</td>
<td>Bacteriovoracaceae</td>
<td>Zheng et al. (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BxR677</td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>CGGATTGTTACCTCAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple Bx5</td>
<td>Bx1442R</td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>GCCACGGCTTCTAGTGAAG</td>
<td>767</td>
<td>PCR-DGGE &amp; cloning-sequencing</td>
<td>Bacteriovoracaceae</td>
<td>Davidov et al. (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bx676F</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>ATTCGCATGAGGG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple Per6</td>
<td>Per676F</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>ATTCACGTGTTAGGGGTA</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>PCR-DGGE &amp; cloning-sequencing</td>
<td>Pseudomonadales</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Per1443R</td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>ATTCACGCTCCTAAATGAAA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple Bct8</td>
<td>63F</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>CAGCGCTTACACATGAGATCGGTCGTTGTAAGC</td>
<td>1,316</td>
<td>Nested PCR</td>
<td>Bacteriovoracaceae</td>
<td>Marchesi et al. (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1378R</td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>GCGGCTTACACATGAGATCGGTCGTTGTAAGC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bacteriovoracaceae</td>
<td>Heuer et al. (76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple Bct7</td>
<td>519F</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>CAGCMGCCTGCGGTTAATGCGTGATATT</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>qPCR</td>
<td>Bacteriovoracaceae</td>
<td>Kandel et al. (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>907R</td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>GCTGTAATGACGTGAT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple Bde9</td>
<td>Bd8224F</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>ACTTGTTGTTGAGGAT</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>PCR-DGGE &amp; cloning-sequencing</td>
<td>Bdellovibrionaceae</td>
<td>Pasternak et al. (77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bd1222R</td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>TTGTGAGGATTGGT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple Bx10</td>
<td>Bx341F</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>CTACGGGAGGGGACGAGCAG</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>PCR-DGGE &amp; cloning-sequencing</td>
<td>Bacteriovoracaceae</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bx672R</td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>TACCCCTACATGGAATTCC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple Per11</td>
<td>Per699F</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>CTGCGCTGACGACTATTTAGC</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>qPCR</td>
<td>Pseudomonadales</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Per974R</td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>GGGGTTCGTTAGGAT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Couple Per12</td>
<td>Per521F</td>
<td>Forward</td>
<td>GAAACTGCGTCTGAAACTGC</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>PCR-DGGE &amp; cloning-sequencing</td>
<td>Pseudomonadales</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Per754R</td>
<td>Reverse</td>
<td>GGCTACCTGAAAGGTCGT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Bold type corresponds to the primers used in this study.*
since all sequences obtained were characterized by more than 96% identity with different cultured or uncultured bacteria of BALO families found in databases (not shown). Between the two primers that we designed to quantify the 16S rRNA gene sequence of the *Peredibacteraceae* family by qPCR, the Per699F (CTGCCGAGCATATACTTGAC) and Per974R (CGGGTCTAGAGATTCAAG) primer pair was the best.

**Abundances and distribution of the BALOs.** In the analysis of the absolute abundances of the different BALO families (in copies per milliliter, measured by qPCR) disregarding the month and the depth, the most represented family of BALOs in the three lakes was the *Peredibacteraceae*, with an abundance reaching up to $1.62 \times 10^5$ gene copies per ml. In contrast, *Bdellovibrionaceae* and *Bacteriovoracaceae* were on average 10,000 times lower in abundance than was the *Peredibacteraceae*, with maximum concentrations reaching 4 and $1.25 \times 10^4$ copies per ml, respectively. Compared to total bacteria also quantified using qPCR or flow cytometry (FCM), the *Peredibacteraceae* represented up to 7.12% of the total bacteria, while *Bacteriovoracaceae* and *Bdellovibrionaceae* accounted for less than 0.05% of the bacterial community. The highest concentrations were always recorded in the free-living bacterial fraction. No evident seasonal variations were recorded here. When discriminating the three families at the two distinct depths, i.e., the surface (2 m, 2.5 m, or 3 m, depending on the lake) versus deeper waters (45 m or 50 m, depending on the lake), (i) *Peredibacteraceae* were the most abundant (with 100 to 10,000 times more copies per ml than *Bdellovibrionaceae* and *Bacteriovoracaceae*), (ii) *Peredibacteraceae* were generally more abundant at the surface compared than in deeper waters. On the other hand, we observed an opposite trend for *Bdellovibrionaceae* and *Bacteriovoracaceae*, in particular for Lake Bourget (Fig. 1).

**Relationships between BALOs, total bacteria, and other environmental data.** Using the relative abundances of BALO families and environmental data obtained from the *in situ* surveys of perialpine lakes (e.g., see [http://www6.inra.fr/soere-ola/](http://www6.inra.fr/soere-ola/)), a canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) was conducted to assess the relationships between BALOs and their biotic and abiotic environments (Fig. 2). The first two axes of the CCA (CCA1 and CCA2) explained 53.1% of the total variance. *Bdellovibrionaceae* displayed clear links with conductivity ($P < 0.05$) and ammonium concentration ($P < 0.05$), whereas *Peredibacteraceae* displayed clear links with pH ($P < 0.05$), dissolved oxygen ($P < 0.05$), and temperature ($P < 0.05$). Compared to the two other families, no significant relationships were found for the *Bacteriovoracaceae* with any of the environmental factors tested here. Moreover, the two distinct water layers, i.e., the surface (<3 m, depending on the lake) versus deeper waters (>45 m, depending on the lake), could be separated (Fig. 2). The analysis suggested that *Bacteriovoracaceae* are more abundant in deep waters ($P < 0.05$) and driven by ecological factors specific to this part of the water column. In contrast, *Peredibacteraceae* were more abundant in near-surface waters ($P < 0.05$) and driven by environmental factors more specific to this layer (such as dissolved O$_2$, chlorophyll a, and higher temperatures). As for *Bdellovibrionaceae*, the repartition seemed to be less specific to the surface than the deeper waters ($P > 0.05$).

**Genetic structure.** The DGGE analysis revealed only a limited number of bands no matter the BALO family considered, and no seasonal patterns were recorded. Only 1 to 5 major bands could be detected, suggesting a low genotypic diversity. A maximum of three bands was detected for *Bacteriovoracaceae*. One major band with three minor (regarding intensity) bands were observed for *Bdellovibrionaceae*. Two bands were generally observed for *Peredibacteraceae* (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material).

**Diversity.** A cloning-sequencing approach was chosen as a first attempt to assess the genetic diversity of the BALOs. Phylogenetic trees were constructed from 30 sequences based on the 16S rRNA gene of each BALO family arising from all the studied lakes. After cleaning, conducting a BLAST search, chimera checking, clustering, and aligning, we obtained 16 centroid sequences for the *Bdellovibrionaceae* family, 6 centroid sequences for the *Bacteriovoracaceae*, and 8 centroid sequences for the *Peredibacteraceae*. Our results clearly show (in agreement with the DGGE results) that
the diversity of each BALO family was relatively low. The phylogenetic tree of the *Bdellovibrionaceae* (Fig. 3) reveals the presence of two distinct clusters. One is related to *Bdellovibrio exovorus* JS5 (6 centroid sequences), and the other corresponds to *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* and its substrains (10 centroid sequences). For the *Bacteriovoracaceae* also (Fig. 4), two clusters emerged. Our sequences are related to the species *Bacteriovorax stolpii*, but they may constitute two other species. The tree suggests that our sequences fall into two distinct strains, with two centroid sequences forming one species and four centroid sequences forming the other. For the *Peredibacteraceae* sequences (Fig. 5), a single cluster emerged. All our sequences looked to be closely related to *Peredibacter starnii*. These trees suggest that perialpine lakes hold the usual BALO members found in other ecosystems with maybe some new species when considering the genus *Bacteriovorax*.

**FIG 1** Dynamics of abundances for the different BALOs, *Peredibacteraceae* (A to C), *Bdellovibrionaceae* (D to F), and *Bacteriovoracaceae* (G to I), obtained at two contrasting depths in the three lakes. Each sample was analyzed in duplicate. Open circles correspond to surface water (2 m for Lake Bourget, 2.5 m for Lake Geneva, and 3 m for Lake Annecy), whereas filled squares correspond to deep water (45 m for Lake Annecy and 50 m for Lakes Bourget and Geneva).
DISCUSSION

The present study aimed at investigating the existence of some predatory bacteria referred to as BALOs in three large and deep French and western European alpine lakes. To our knowledge, the existence and quantitative importance of BALOs in such lake ecosystems have not been studied previously, and so different methods were tested and optimized to assess their diversity and abundance. First of all, we designed a new specific qPCR primer set to target the Peredibacteraceae, since no primer existed yet for this recently discovered freshwater BALO family. Then, we quantified and assessed the diversity of three representative groups of BALOs, the Peredibacteraceae, the Bdellovibrionaceae, and the Bacteriovoracaceae, and compared these results to others obtained from a variety of environments. Even if our study revealed unambiguously the presence of BALOs in large perialpine lakes, we are well aware of the limits associated with some of the methodologies chosen and used here (i.e., the DGGE and the cloning-sequencing approaches), as well as the number of analyzed samples (i.e., only a few depths and a few months). In addition, the primers we used were not degenerate. Therefore, our approach may be too stringent to recover a higher BALOs diversity. In fine, this study serves as a pioneering analysis revealing a part of the diversity, distribution, and dynamics of the BALO bacterial community in some freshwater ecosystems.

Probable role and diversity of BALOs in perialpine lakes. BALOs were found in each lake and at each depth investigated, whatever the period of the year sampled. While this study did not assess the role of BALOs in the microbial loop and lake functioning, it is already known from other studies that these bacteria are likely to be important bioagents of mortality (38). It is noteworthy, however, that very few studies...
have focused on the role and effect of such predatory bacteria on the bacterial community of natural or man-made environments, and the understanding of bacterial mortality has been mainly and mostly based on the study of viruses and protists so far (33). Unlike viruses and protists, BALO predation is not dependent on the physiology or size of the prey (19). Additionally, BALOs are ubiquitous in nature (38). Thus, predation by BALOs adds a new dimension to the recycling of organic matter through the microbial loop. Both viruses and BALOs recycle nutrients via the microbial loop; however, the recycling mechanisms are different. Viral lysis results in the release of the entire intracellular contents of the prey into the environment, while BALOs consume most of the prey content, hence releasing few nutrients in the environment. Having said that, BALOs yield a higher energetic value since they are filled with nutrients; therefore, when other organisms graze on them, the nutrient uptake efficiency is higher (38). Regarding their diversity, BALOs form highly heterogeneous groups with a large phylogenetic diversity (26). We managed to detect in perialpine lakes the usual BALOs already found in the current bibliography, although we used a fingerprinting approach for which many biases are associated. We are aware indeed that DGGE bands only reflect the microorganism populations found at relatively high concentrations. Additionally, bands can comigrate in the DGGE gel; thereby, the numbers of bands can be over- or underestimated (11). Definitely, a high-throughput sequencing approach will reveal better the hidden diversity of these BALOs. Therefore, highly specific primers for each BALO family should be designed with a fair amount of degeneracy in order to limit non-target region binding but at the same time maximize taxon detection (39). In our

FIG 3 Phylogenetic analysis of 16 centroid sequences of *Bdellovibrionaceae* from Lakes Annecy, Bourget, and Geneva based on 16S rRNA gene Sanger sequencing obtained after curation and clustering, along with 16 other sequences retrieved from Arb-SILVA (42), including two type species, *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* and *Bdellovibrio exoavorus*. All sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (66) via MEGA6 (60). The alignment was trimmed at both ends to eliminate gaps and then curated with Gblocks (68), resulting in 241 positions from 245 positions. The best-fit model of nucleotide substitution was selected using jModelTest-2.1.1 (69) through an Akaike model selection strategy, resulting in a TIM1+I+G model. Phylogenetic tree was constructed by the maximum likelihood method using PhyML-3.1 (71), and Bayesian inference (GTR+I+G) was conducted using MrBayes 3.2.6 (72) with 5 million generations and a burn-in value of 25%. Posterior probability (PP) values followed by bootstrap values are added to the left of a node when possible (PP/BS). Bootstraps below 50 were deleted. Accession numbers are listed to the left of some organism names. *Vampirovibrio chlorellavorus* was used as an outgroup to root the *Bdellovibrionaceae* tree.
study, we used nondegenerate primers for the PCR-DGGE and a cloning-sequencing approach; therefore, we might have missed some BALOs. Another very important point that we should emphasize about is the taxonomy assignment of BALOs present in 16S rRNA gene databases. Since the early 2000s, BALOs taxonomy has changed. Baer et al. (40) reclassified *Bdellovibrio stolpii* and *Bdellovibrio starrii* into a new genus, *Bacteriovorax*. Then, Davidov and Jurkevitch (30) reclassified *Bacteriovorax starrii* as *Peredibacter starrii*, hence creating a new family, the *Peredibacteraceae*. At the same time, Baer et al. (41) proposed to reclassify saltwater *Bdellovibrio* spp. as *Bacteriovorax marinus* and *Bacteriovorax litoralis*. At last, Koval et al. (27) redirected saltwater BALOs into a new genus, *Halobacteriovax*, creating a new family, the *Halobacteriovoraceae*. As a result, these adjustments have caused a few confusions in 16S rRNA gene databases. Typically, when working with Arb-SILVA SSUParc release number 132 (42), we encountered *Peredibacter* and *Halobacteriovax* spp. grouped in the *Bacteriovoracaceae*. Furthermore, some sequences were assigned to *Bdellovibrio*. At the beginning of the discovery of BALOs, any found sequence was cataloged under the *Bdellovibrionaceae* family. Lately, some efforts were made to assign correctly these sequences, but there is much work to be done. Today again, one cannot determine whether some sequences belong to *Bdellovibrio*, *Bacteriovorax*, *Peredibacter*, or *Halobacteriovax*.

**Peredibacteraceae are the most abundant BALO family in perialpine lakes.** The *Bdellovibrionaceae* displayed little diversity in perialpine lakes. This result is in agreement with the study by Li and Williams (43) who also reported that the population structure of the *Bdellovibrionaceae* differed from one lake to another. The *Bacteriovoracaceae* seem to be more diverse in salt water than in freshwater (30). While the
**Peredibacteraceae** may not be a much-diversified family according to our study, these bacteria were found in higher concentrations than both the **Bdellovibrionaceae** and **Bacteriovoracaceae**. Indeed, the **Peredibacteraceae** isolated from freshwater and soil and described by Pineiro et al. (31) constituted the most abundant family for all the conditions studied (within the three lakes, depths, different fractions, and different sampling periods). This result suggests that the **Peredibacteraceae** are well adapted to perialpine lake ecosystems, either by being a generalist or a versatile hunter regarding the heterotrophic bacteria present or by preying on bigger preys, thus growing faster and making more descendants. The number of preys present in the environment and the differential use of these preys (33) affect the abundance of one population to another. Environmental factors, such as temperature and salinity, can also affect the distribution and abundance of BALO families, and here, the **Peredibacteraceae** were more correlated to temperature than were the **Bdellovibrionaceae** or the **Bacteriovoracaceae**. In addition, it is known that the presence of a variety of predators, such as protists (i.e., the nanoflagellates or the ciliates), metazooplankton, and bacteriophages can affect the survival and growth of bacteria within the ecosystem. In fact, these microorganisms can play a significant role in controlling bacterial populations (21).

**Low abundance of BALOs may not be indicative of a weak functional role.** The study of the abundances of the **Bdellovibrionaceae** and the **Bacteriovoracaceae** in aquaculture systems reported concentrations between $10^3$ and $10^6$ cells per ml (33). These results combined with our findings suggest that these two families might have a low impact on the community of heterotrophic bacteria in perialpine lakes. However, recent studies have also shown that a low abundance of BALOs is not necessarily
evidence of a lower functional impact on prey dynamics (38, 44, 45). Hence, despite the very low abundances of the *Bdellovibrionaceae* and the *Bacteriovoracaceae* we found, their functional role may not be negligible. Moreover, the number of native BALOs in the environment is reported to be low (19). In fact, it has been suggested that BALOs rarely dominate continuously from a numerical point of view but form reasonably abundant populations that fluctuate over time (33). For example, the formation of a bacterial hot spot may alter the structure and abundance of BALOs in an ecosystem at any time. This led Williams et al. (38) to hypothesize about the “seed bank” theory. The theory implies that when some conditions are met, BALOs could switch from a state of inactive and sparse to a state where they are highly active and abundant to the point of becoming dominant for a limited period of time. The results from our previous study about ssDNA viruses and their boom and bust dynamics reinforce this idea (35).

Relatively closed ecosystems, such as ponds, are usually rich in organic matter, resulting in high concentrations of heterotrophic bacteria that can favor the growth of BALO populations. For example, the number of heterotrophic bacteria in shrimp ponds is 10 to 100 times greater than that in natural coastal waters. BALOs react to high prey biomass densities, thus increasing their abundance (46), and can become invasive since they have very high adaptability to different environments (47).

**BALOs and environmental factors.** Our CCA revealed some significant relationships between the BALOs and some environmental factors likely to be important to better understand the ecology of the predators. On one hand, we found that the *Peredibacteraceae* displayed clear links with pH, dissolved oxygen, and temperature and distributed more preferentially in near-surface waters, where waters are warmer and richer in phytoplankton biomass and bacterial prey (Fig. S1). According to Davidov and Jurkevitch (30), the optimal temperature range of *Peredibacter starrii* is 20 to 30°C. In the summer, the abundance of the *Peredibacteraceae* was clearly higher than in the winter or autumn. On another hand, the *Bdellovibrionaceae* seemed to be more sensitive to conductivity and ammonium concentrations. At last, no significant relationships were found for the *Bacteriovoracaceae* with any of the environmental factors tested in our study, while this group was also found to be more abundant in deep water. Unlike *Peredibacter*, *Bacteriovorax stolpii* can handle a wider range of temperatures. The optimal temperature range for growth of this species is 15 to 35°C (40). In general, environmental factors are undeniably a driving force in bacterial structure, specifically salinity and temperature (48). However, in the literature, only two factors, i.e., temperature and salinity, have been shown to induce a shift in BALO structure (33), while other factors seemed to play a minor role in BALO structure. For instance, Chen et al. (49) observed that growth and predation activity of estuarine BALOs were reduced when the temperature dropped below 10°C. In parallel, the same trend occurred when salinity reached more than 30 ppt. Excluding temperature and salinity, BALOs may not be directly correlated to conductivity, pH, oxygen, ammonium, chlorophyll, or other measurements but might be dependent on the presence of prey bacteria. This is what Chauhan et al. (50) found with clear positive correlations between BALOs and allochthonous prey bacterial abundances. Van Essche et al. (25) suggested that BALOs can prey in microaerophilic or anaerobic habitats. They identified a cytochrome oxidase complex (Cyt bb₃) in *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* strain HD100 that eases microaerophilic respiration. Additionally, Sackett and Lambert (51) indicated that *Bdellovibrio* spp. can utilize other substrates than oxygen, such as nitrite or nitric oxide, for respiration. Burnham et al. (52) reported that *Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus* 15143 lysed extracellularly the blue-green alga *Phormidium luridum*. The secreted enzymes from the predator inhibited 75% of the algal photosynthesis. Therefore, when chlorophyll a measurements are low in the environment, one can expect that among other reasons, BALO enzymes are here and there. To conclude, since the existence and the abundance of prey are more likely to impact BALOs than are any other parameters, the next very important step will be to study which heterotrophic bacteria in perialpine lakes are associated with the main BALO species.
Provenance and form of BALOs. While studying an estuarine ecosystem, Williams (53) observed that BALOs might be of allochthonous origin. BALOs would largely derive from river runoff and a wastewater treatment plant likely to constitute hot spots of concentration. In addition, it was reported that BALOs prefer benthic habitats over the pelagic zone, typically sediments or biofilms formed on small rocks near shore. These past observations could explain the relatively low abundance of BALOs in perialpine lakes sampled in open water, far from the main tributaries and the littoral zone. It is noteworthy, however, that if and when certain conditions are met, such as temperature warming and heterotrophic bacterial blossoming, the abundance of BALOs will most likely start to increase.

We also want to remind the reader that we used two types of filters to obtain information on both attached (2-μm pore size) and free-living (0.2-μm pore size) cells. The attached form implies BALOs undergoing periplasmic (bdelloplast) or epibiotic cycles but also BALOs physically attached to any type of particle. We hypothesized that 2-μm-pore-size filters would result in more BALOs than the <2-μm fraction, because a bdelloplast can contain at least three progenies, and epibiotic BALOs divide into two cells. Nevertheless, the 0.2-μm-pore-size filter yielded the highest concentrations of BALOs, suggesting at first glance that the free-living form is dominant. However, we prefer to point out that this result is to be taken with caution since we cannot eliminate the possibility that the filtration step as well as the extraction protocol using the GenElute kit may not have mechanically separated aggregates and then lysed the bdelloplasts, leading to false conclusions.

Conclusions and perspectives. Our results have revealed the presence of bacterial predators belonging to the three main families of BALOs in perialpine lakes, with, at least for the Peredibacteraceae, concentrations reaching relatively high values. These results lead to the conclusion that these bacteria are likely to play a significant role in the functioning of these ecosystems. However, their role remains to be determined. A first perspective of this work is to investigate the interactions between prey and predator, for instance, throughout the use of approaches such as next-generation sequencing (NGS) to better capture their diversity and build interaction networks. We assume that using NGS approaches such as 16S rRNA gene metabarcoding combined with high-throughput sequencing will cover more in-depth the diversity of BALOs and considerably improve our knowledge regarding these communities. One needs to keep in mind that such methods can also fail to detect taxa at low densities (54). A second perspective is to investigate the action of different environmental factors on prey-predator relationships. To reach this goal, experimental approaches should be carried out with isolates from different strains of BALO families from perialpine lakes with a spectrum of prey bacteria cocultured in microcosms. Experiments in micro- or mesocosms could be proposed under different conditions, following the experimental approach proposed by Williams et al. (38), who used qPCR and SIP after the addition of radiolabeled prey under different conditions. The catalog and analysis of the diversity of the various BALOs in microcosms would allow a direct correlation of the different environmental factors characteristic of the lacustrine environment (such as prey quantity, prey diversity, types of nutrients, etc.) with the distribution of the various BALOs. The study of the abundance, structure, and diversity of BALOs within other matrices, such as biofilms and sediments within the perialpine lake environment, constitutes another exciting issue, as does the analysis of the possible functional importance of the last group of BALOs not studied in this work, e.g., Micavibrio.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study sites and sampling strategy. Sampling was conducted at the reference station of the three largest natural deep lakes in France and western Europe, i.e., Lakes Annecy, Bourget, and Geneva. Different trophic statuses characterize these ecosystems: mesotrophic for Lake Geneva, oligomesotrophic for Lake Bourget, and oligotrophic for Lake Annecy (55, 56). The samples were taken at different depths, characteristics of the epi- or the metahypolimnion, i.e., 2 or 2.5 m versus 50 m for Lakes Bourget and Geneva, and 3 m versus 45 m for Lake Annecy. These samples were taken on average once per month for each lake (except for Lake Annecy) between August 2015 and January 2016. For each depth and
sampling site, 1 liter of water was filtered successively through two types of 47-mm-diameter polycarbonate filters, a 2-µm-pore-size (to obtain the bacteria community attached to particles, epibiotic BALOs attached to prey, and 0.2-µm-pore-size (to retrieve only the so-called free-living bacteria, typically the BALO free attack phase). Filters were frozen and kept at −20°C. Both physical and chemical descriptors, as well as total bacterial counts, were obtained as reported in previous studies (4, 7, 56, 57). Physical descriptors, nutrients, chlorophyll a, and other environmental factors, including total bacterial abundance using flow cytometry, were obtained as previously described (2, 4, 9–11).

DNA extraction and PCR primers. DNA extraction was conducted from filters using the GenElute bacterial genomic DNA kit. Different cultures of BALOs, referred to as HD100 and 109J for *Bdellobivibrio bacteriovorus* and A3.12 for *Peredibacter starrii* (provided by E. Jurkevitch), were used as positive controls for PCR assays (see below) and were centrifuged (10 min, 4°C, 13,000 × g) in order to collect the pellet and extract the DNA. DNA concentrations were quantified and quality controlled using a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer and Qubit 3.0 fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with three replicates for each sample.

Different primers for either PCR or qPCR were selected for their specificity for the 16S rRNA gene of the three BALOs families, i.e., the *Bdellobivibrioaceae, Bacteriovoracaceae,* and *Peredibacteraceae.* A total of 12 primers (Table 1) were tested using different PCR and qPCR protocols (Table S2). As no qPCR primers for quantifying *Peredibacteraceae* were available in any previous studies, we designed and tested new primers using the NCBI/Primer-BLAST online tool (58), the FastPCR software (59), and the MEGA 6 software (60). One hundred twenty existing sequences of 16 rRNA genes of *Peredibacteraceae* were aligned using ClustalW within MEGA6. A consensus sequence was obtained and used to find specific primers with NCBI/Primer-BLAST, with a high stringency (i.e., primer with at least 3 total mismatches to unintended targets, including at least 2 mismatches within the last 6 bp at the 3′ end; targets with 2 or more mismatches to the primer were ignored; and the target had a maximum size of 350 bp). Each primer pair designed was then verified by qPCR amplification and cloning-sequencing.

qPCR reactions were performed using the Quantitect SYBR green PCR kit and with the Rotor-Gene Q thermocycler. Standard curves were established in triplicate using serial dilutions of *Escherichia coli* plasmids containing 16S rRNA gene sequences of each of the three families. Linear standard curves were obtained within the range of 10^1 to 10^9 plasmid copies per reaction. The efficacy was 0.99 with an R² value of 0.998 and a slope value of −3.32. The specificity of reactions was confirmed by both melting-curve analyses and agarose gel electrophoresis to identify unspecific PCR products. The plasmid copy numbers were calculated using the following formula (61): copy number = (DNA amount [ng] × 6.022 × 10^23)/[length [bp] × 109 × 650).

FCM. To obtain total bacterial counts, without PCR bias, we used a FACSScalibur flow cytometer, as previously described, (2, 4) (see the supplemental material). Note also that we compared these abundances with qPCR data obtained using the universal primer set for total bacterial counts and obtained a fairly good relationship (r = 0.654, not shown).

DGGE. The BALO community was analyzed by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) following the manufacturer’s protocol instruction manual (DGGE-2001; C.B.S.-Scientific Company, Inc.). One-millimeter-thick polyacrylamide gel (6% [wt/vol] acrylamide in 1 TAE buffer [40 mM Tris, 20 mM sodium acetate, 1 mM EDTA] [pH adjusted to 7.4]) was prepared with a linear formamide/urea gradient ranging from 40% to 55% after several tests to find the best gradient. It was overlaid with a non-denaturing stacking gel. Each well was loaded with 15 ng PCR product and 5 µL loading buffer. Electrophoresis was conducted for 16 h at 120 V and 60°C. Subsequently, the gels were stained in darkness for 40 min following the manufacturer’s protocol instruction manual (DGGE-2001; C.B.S.-Scientific Company, Inc.).

DNA purification, cloning and sequencing. The DNA of each DGGE band was eluted from the gel slice, after its excision, by adding 100 µl sterile 1 × TAE buffer and heating at 95°C for 15 min. Three microliters of eluted DNA served as the template in a 22-µl PCR mixture using the corresponding primer set. The PCR products were purified and sequenced using the same conditions as in the first PCR stage described above. The amplicons were first verified by electrophoresis in a 1.5% agarose gel, purified using the illustra GFX PCR DNA and Gel Band purification kit (GE Healthcare), and finally cloned into pCR4-TOPO vectors using the TOPO TA Cloning kit (Invitrogen). Randomly selected clones were sent to GATC Biotech (Germany) for sequencing.

Sequence processing, alignment, and phylogenetic analysis. Sequenced DNA from Sanger sequencing required different steps in order to be cleaned. The same workflow was applied to the sequences of each BALO family. First, sequences shorter than 100 bp were discarded. Second, the remnant of *E. coli* vector at the 5′ and 3′ ends was detected and removed using NCBI BLASTn (62). Then, actual BALO sequences were trimmed at the 3′ end to remove the poor-quality bases. Next, sequences that matched other species than BALOs or unknown bacteria, i.e., “uncultured bacterium,” were also discarded. Afterward, sequences were dereplicated using the OBITOOLS command “OBIUNIQ” (63), checked for chimera sequences using “VSEARCH uchime_denovo” (64), and clustered at a 97% identity threshold using the command “CLUSTER FAST” of Usearch (65). Next, using the MEGABLAST software (58), centroid sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (66) and trimmed to equal length. Poorly aligned sequences were discarded. Later, for each family, a reference database was constructed using Arb-SILVA (42), and when
not enough sequences were found, the NCBI nucleotide database (67) was used to complete the
database. Each sequence of the database was subjected to a BLAST search and continued to belong to
the chosen BALO family. Sequences from the reference database and cleaned Sanger sequences were
then aligned using MUSCLE and trimmed equally. The alignment was curated using Gblocks (68). The
best substitution model was selected using jModelTest-2.1.10 (69) with the Akaike information criterion
(AIC) (70). Next, maximum likelihood phylogeny was constructed using PhyML-3.1 (71) with 100 boot-
strap replicates, and Bayesian phylogeny inference was made with MrBayes 3.2.6 (72). For each family,
the same outgroup species was used, i.e., *Vampirovibrio chlorellavorus* (GenBank accession no.
HM0380001.1), based on Kandel et al. (33).

Data analysis of abundance in relation to environmental data. A canonical correspondence
analysis (CCA) was performed, taking into account only the most significant and nonredundant ecological
variables to highlight the relationships between the relative abundances of the three families of
BALOs (obtained by qPCR) with environmental factors. The CCA was tested using the vegan package in
R with the following ecological descriptors: temperature, total phosphorus, orthophosphates, nitrates,
ammonium, silicon dioxide, dissolved oxygen, chlorophyll a, pH, and conductivity, and only pH, dissolved
oxygen, temperature, total phosphorus, conductivity, and ammonium were conserved after forward
selection of the variables. A statistical test of the relationship between the abundance of each family with
environmental factors was performed with the permutational multivariate analysis of variance
(PERMANOVA) test from vegan.

Data availability. All sequences are available in the GenBank database with the following accession
numbers: MH537943, MH537944, MH537945, MH537946, MH537947, MH537948, MH537949, MH537950,
MH537951, MH537952, MH537953, MH537954, MH537955, MH537956, MH537957, MH537958, MH537959,
MH537960, MH537961, MH537962, MH537963, MH537964, MH537965, MH537966, MH537967, MH537968,
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